FY 2016 HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION EVALUATION

THIS DOCUMENT IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

IT WILL BE COMPLETED BY THE 2016 HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT COMMITTEE MEMBERS

OVERALL STRATEGY (30 points)						
1. Rate the terrorism and natural hazard risks as identified by the application. Does this align with the DHS required targets of: Guard Against Terrorism, Secure our Borders, Enforce Immigration Laws, and Improve Readiness for, Response to and Recovery from Disasters, whole community, support mass casualty response? Sustainment IS a national priority in this grant	0	1	2	3	4	5
2. Rate the severity of the problem as identified by the application based on scale of: local, regional, more?	0	1	2	3	4	5
3. Rate the proposed solution as identified by the application to mitigate the identified problem.	0	1	2	3	4	5
4. Rate the impact of this project on the Regionally identified risks and primary grant required CORE CAPABILITIES and STATE STRATEGY (see section II D,E,F)	0	1	2	3	4	5
5. How well does the application explain the sustainability created or enhanced by this project?	0	1	2	3	4	5
6. Is this project a multi-jurisdictional application with **all** signed letters of support attached?	0			3		
REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS. (10 points)						
7. To support the risk informed capability based grant are supporting analysis documents attached (AARs, promulgation/propagation studies, LEOP, Frequency License for this project or other quantified information to support project need)?	0			5		10
SECTION III. PROJECT (12 points)						
8. Does the proposal provide specific *quantitative* project outcomes information tied to grant mandated CORE CAPABILITIES? (see section II D,E,F)	0			3		5
9. How viable is the maintenance and replacement plan at the local level?	0	1	2			
10. Are "whole community" aspects/responses outlined and/or Support letter provided ? (Part I G)	0	1	2	3	4	5
SECTION IV. BUDGET (20 points)						
11. Does the project contain a concise line item budget that adds correctly?	0					5
12. Does the proposal include AEL# **with** documentation from the AEL to support eligibility?	0					5
13. Are all project costs reasonable, necessary and allowable based on narrative. budget?	0	1	2	3	4	5
14. How cost-effective does the funding plan appear to be given the described needs?	0	1	2	3	4	5
SECTION V. MANAGEMENT (15 points)						
15. Does the applicant provide specific milestones as well as start and end dates within 30-35 months that is reasonable? (Milestones)	0					5
16. Are exercises and training included in the project and detailed in connection to same?	0	1	2	3	4	5
17. Does this grant support the concept that Core capabilities contained in the National Goal are highly interdependent and require us to use existing preparedness networks and activities, improve training and exercise programs, promote innovation, and ensure that the appropriate administrative, finance, and logistics systems are in place.	0	1	2	3	4	5
SECTION VI. CORE Capabilities/NH Priorities (3 points)						
18.Based on the current State Strategy does this grant support CORE Capabilities that cannot be achieved WITHOUT grant FUNDS and they DO meet State Strategy as presented?	0	1	2	3	4	5
COMPREHENSIVE APPLICATION (10 points)						
19. How well do the individual responses collectively demonstrate a strong vision to support the overall project tied to terrorism, EMS/ mass casualty, intelligence gathering, communications, mitigation, recovery aftermath, or pre-planning to lessen potential disaster impact	0	1	2	3	4	5
20. Does this application demonstrate a strong and convincing reason for funding in a REGION?	0	1	2	3	4	5

TOTAL POINTS _____