

**Raymond Porelle, Jr. v. NEPBA, Inc.**, Decision No. 2010-095 (Case No. P-0749-34).

A former employee of the Police Department filed an unfair labor practice complaint alleging that the Union breached its obligations under a settlement agreement which resolved a prior unfair labor practice complaint in *Raymond Porelle, Jr. v. NEPBA Local 23, Rochester Police Union*, PELRB Case No. P-0749-32. The Union denied the charge and raised a number of affirmative defenses, such as the statute of limitations and failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

The PELRB dismissed the complaint finding that the terms of the settlement agreement did not obligate the union to provide the complainant with representation in the NH Retirement System proceedings and that any claim that the Union was otherwise obligated to provide such representation based upon events and circumstances prior to the settlement agreement was extinguished by the agreement and was otherwise barred by the six month limitations period set forth in RSA 273-A:6, VII.

*Disclaimer: This summary is intended to provide a brief description of the issues in this case and the outcome. The summary is not a substitute for the decision, should not be relied upon in place of the decision, and should not be cited as controlling or relevant authority in PELRB proceedings or other proceedings.*