

## **2008 SYNOPSIS**

### **Brentwood Police Union, NEPBA and Town of Brentwood PELRB Case No. P-0804**

The union filed a written majority authorization petition seeking to be certified as an exclusive representative of a proposed bargaining unit consisting of the following positions: police department sergeant; detective sergeant; full and part time patrol officers; and secretary/assistant. The town objected to the petition claiming that the secretary/assistant position should be excluded from the proposed unit because it was a confidential position; that sergeant position should be excluded from the proposed unit because it was a supervisory position; and that two police officers should be excluded from the unit because they were on call employees.

The PELRB dismissed the union's petition finding that the proposed bargaining unit did not meet 10-employee minimum statutory requirement because one part time patrol officer was irregular or on call employee and, therefore, not a public employee within the meaning of RSA 273-A:1, IX.

*Disclaimer: This summary is intended to provide a brief description of the issues in this case and the outcome. The summary is not a substitute for the decision, should not be relied upon in place of the decision, and should not be cited as controlling or relevant authority in PELRB proceedings or other proceedings.*