

State of New Hampshire

PUBLIC EMPLOYEE LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

CLAREMONT SCHOOL BOARD

Complainant

:

SUGAR RIVER EDUCATION ASSOCIATION:

NEA-NEW HAMPSHIRE

v.

Respondent

CASE NO. T-0364:4

DECISION NO. 92-173

APPEARANCES

Representing Claremont School Board:

Theodore E. Comstock, Esq.

Representing Sugar River Education Association:

John Fessenden, UniServ Director

Also appearing:

Robert Young, Sugar River Education Association Mike Cirre, Sugar River Education Association Carl F. Hesse, Claremont School Board

BACKGROUND

The Claremont School Board (Board) filed a Petition for Declaratory Judgement against the Sugar River Education Association (Association) on May 28, 1992, seeking a determination as to whether it was legally obligated to pay step/track movement to eligible bargaining unit employees in the absence of a successor agreement to the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) which was set to (and did) expire on June 30, 1992. The Association filed its Answer on July 17, 1992 after which this matter was heard by the PELRB on October 6, 1992.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Board notified unit members of its intention to pay increments, both steps and tracks, by the letter from its Chairman, Thomas P. Convair, dated March 12, 1992. The payment of those increments indicates that funds were available and had been appropriated by the legislative body for such a purpose. letter, the Board evidenced its intent to pay the increments for the 1992-1993 school year. We will not disturb that manifestation of intent.

No such correspondence or manifestation of intent has been forthcoming from the Board for the 1993-94 school year. Until that is the case or until the parties negotiate to the contrary, there is no presumption that the Board is obligated to pay such increments for the 1993-94 school year. That matter is properly left to be the subject of negotiations between the parties. reach this conclusion from the current state of the record which gives no indication that, at any time pertinent to these proceedings, the parties undertook to extend the CBA beyond its June 30, 1992 termination date.

For the reasons stated, the Board is obligated to pay the increments in question for the 1992-93 school year. It presently has no obligation to do so for the 1993-94 school year.

So ordered.

voting.

By unanimous vote.

Signed this ___5th_day of November, 1992.

Chairman Edward J. Haseltine presiding. Members Richard E. Molan, Esq. and Francis LeFavour present and