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## DECISION ON HOTION FOR REHEARING

## APPEARAMCES

Keene State College Education Association:
Jack E. Fiddleton, Esquire, Counsel
David il. Smith, UniSery Director
Sylvia Donahue, Field Coordinator
University System of New Hampshire:
Nicholas DiGiovanni, Esquire, Counsel
Gary Wulf, System Personnel Director

## BACKGROUND

This case arises from a motion for rehearing filed by the New Hampshire Education Association on behalf or Keene State College Education Association following a decision of this Board dated June 28 , 1978 being Decision $\$ 780020$ in which certain findings and rulings were made. Keene State Collefe Education Association challenged those rulings in substantial part as being contrary to law and evidence. The motion for rehearing also alleged the composition of the Board hearing the earlier case, being the Chairman, Edward Haseltine and members Edward Allman and Richard Cummings who are management representatives as the term is defined in RSA 273-A:2 (I), was unlawful.

A hearing was held on September 21, 1978 on the motion for rehearing. The parties agreed to rely on the record and written submissions made by the New Hampshire Education Association, the University System of New Hamphshire being satisfied that its previous submissions to the Board were adequate to cover the issues involved. Arcument was taken at the hearing concerning the alleged illegality of the earlier hearing because of the nembers who acted upon it.

At the hearing on September 21 , 1978, the parties agreed to proceed with a Board consisting of only two members which was less than a guorum and waived objections thereto.

The Board has considered the issues contained in the motion for rehearing, the brief submitted by the Keene State Coilege Education Association and the previous submissions of the parties and has reviewed its decisions in light thereof and makes the following findings.

## FINDIBGS OF FACT AND RULTMGS OF LAL

The Board finds no reason to change its order dated June 28,1978 and therefore reaffirms said order.

On the issue of the legality of the Board's hearing the matter, the Board points to the relevant statutory authority which is found in RSA 273-A:2 which reads in pertinent part as follows:
"I. There is hereby created a Public Employee Labor Relations Board consisting of five members appointed by the Governor and Council. Two members shall be appointed who shall have extensive experience representing organized labor. Two members shall be appointed who shall have extensive experience in representing management interests. One member who shall be the chairman shall be appointed to represent the public at large, and shall not hold elective or appointive public office or elective or appointive office, or membership in, organized labor at the time of his appointment or during his term. Members of the Board may be removed by the Governor and Council for cause...
III. Three members of the Board shall constitute a quorum. In the chairman's absence, the senior member present shall act as temporary chairman."

The Board finds the language quoted to be clear and unambiguous. The Board appointed is to have two members from organized labor, two members from manafement and one public member. In the very same statute, the legislature stated that a majority of the members present constitute a quorum. Had the legislature intended that a quorum could only be present if it contained one member from management, one member from labor and the chairman, it would have so stated and had the legislature intended that for a valid quorum to be present at least one member be present from each group, management and labor, it would also have so stated. The Board notes that three members are required for a quorum, three members were present, the Board was properly constituted to do business and validly conducted its business at the previous hearing.

Note should be made that the Legislature has on occasion specified that certain members from certain categories of membership must be present for an agency of the state to do business. RSA 309-A:2 concerning the Board of Accountancy provides for a Eoard consisting of five members, three of whom shall be certified public accountants and two of whom shall be non-certified public accountants. RSA 309-A:2 IV provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

[^0]
## OSDEH

The Board issues the following order.

1. The Board reaffirms its decision $\# 780028$.
2. The Board denies the relief requested in the notion for rehearing, having Eranted a rehearing and accepted written memoranda concerning the issues involved in the gotion for rehearing.
3. The Board finds that the Board was lejally and validly constituted and operating with the proper guorum at the hearing held previously.


Signed this_21st day of ioveaber, 1978

Board members fichard Cumings and James Anderson also present. All concurred. Member Joseph Moriarty took no part in the consideration or decision of this matter. Board Counsel Bradford Cook also present.


[^0]:    ". . .all matters pertaining to certified public accountants includine but not limited to the examination and certification of certified public accountants and to the practice of accounting by certified public accountants shall be within the exclusive jurisdiction of the certified public accountant members of the Board, and when such matters are before the Board, a inajority of the certified public accountant menbers shall constitute a quorum."

    Froil that provision, it can be noted that had the legislature sought to have put conditions on what menbers of a board were to constitute a quorum for hearing certain watters, it would have done so. It did not do so in RSA 273-A and no meaning can be read into the law with such a requirement.

