

Appendix A: Reports Referenced

The Multi-State Energy Facility Siting Review provides a review of the siting process in seven states—New Hampshire, Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Vermont, and New York. It covers three topics: 1) structure and authority of each states' siting agency or body; 2) process for engaging the public and affected communities, and the process for making decisions, and 3) criteria used for decisionmaking. A copy of the report can be accessed at

http://www.nh.gov/oep/energy/programs/documents/sb99other_states_process.pdf

The New Hampshire Siting Process reviews the current process in New Hampshire following review of written materials, cases and materials from the SEC website, and interviews with a wide variety of stakeholders with expertise in New Hampshire's processes. This report can be accessed at

https://www.nh.gov/oep/energy/programs/documents/sb99nh_siting_process.pdf

A compilation of abstracts of the studies consulted regarding best practices in energy facilities siting can be accessed at

http://www.nh.gov/oep/energy/programs/documents/sb99national_studies_list.pdf

Appendix B: Focus Group Attendance

Environmental/Natural Resources	
Nov. 6, 2013 Morning – 15 Participants	
Organization	Name
CLF	Christophe Courchesne
AMC	Susan Arnold, Ken Kimball, Dave Publicover
SPNHF	Will Abbott, Chris Wells
TNC	Jim O'Brien
NH Sustainable Energy Association	Kate Epsen
Sierra Club	Cathy Corkery
Audubon	Carol Foss
Monadnock Conservancy	Ken Stewart
UCS	Roger Stephenson
Plymouth State U. Ctr. for the Environment	Joe Boyer
NH Land Law	Amy Manzelli
ENV-NE	Dan Sosland

Business/Industry/Labor	
Nov. 6, 2013 Afternoon – 8 Participants	
Organization	Name
IBEW/AFL-CIO	Joe Casey, Hack Montgomery
NE Clean Energy Council	Janet Besser
Real Estate Representative	Andy Smith
Timberland Landowner Assoc.	Jasen Stock
GDS Associates	Scott Albert
Nashua Chamber of Commerce	Chris Williams
3 Phase Line Construction	Steve Autenreith

Transmission/Pipeline Developers	
Nov. 7, 2013 Morning - 7 Participants	
Organization	Name
Northeast Utilities	Barry Needleman
National Grid	Mark Rielly
NextEra/NH Transmission	Steven Garwood
Anbaric	Stephen Conant
ISO-NE	Eric Johnson, Michael Giamo
PNGTS	Cynthia Armstrong

Energy Facilities (Generators)	
Nov. 7, 2013 Afternoon – 8 Participants	
Organization	Name
PSNH/NU	Lynn Tillotson, Christopher Allwarden
NE Power Generation Association (NEPGA)	Sandi Hennequin
IPR-GDF SUEZ North America	Joe Dalton
TransCanada	Cleve Kapala
Granite Ridge Energy/Orr & Reno	Howard Moffett
Independent Attorney for NH Wood Plants	Bob Olson
Triland Partnership LP (Large Solar)	Thomas Garden

Wind Energy Developers	
Nov. 12, 2013 Morning – 7 Participants	
Organization	Name
Iberdola	Ed Cherian
EDP Renewable	Derek Rieman
Eolian	Jack Kenworthy
Wagner Forest Management	Mike Novello
GRP/Brookfield	Dan Whyte
Orr & Reno	Doug Patch
RENEW	Francis Pullaro

State Agencies	
Nov. 12, 2013 Afternoon– 8 Participants	
Organization	Name
DES and Coordinating Committee	Harry Stewart, Michael Fitzgerald
Div. of Historical Resources	Beth Muzzey
HHS	Brook Dupee
OEP	Meredith Hatfield, Chris Northrop
PUC and Coordinating Committee	Kate Bailey, David Shulock

Local Government/Citizen Organizations	
Nov. 12, 2013 Afternoon– 15 Participants	
NH Wind Watch	Lori Lerner
NH Municipal Association	Cordell Johnston
NH Land Law	Jason Reimers
Lakes Region Planning Commission	Kimon Koulet
Local Energy Committees (statewide)	Theresa Swanick
NE Grassroots Environmental Fund	Julia Dundorf
Office of Consumer Advocate	Susan Chamberlin
Author of Bury the Northern Pass	Susan Schibanoff
NH Assoc. of Conservation Commissions	Carol Andrews
NH Trails Bureau	Chris Gamache
Squam Lakes Conservation	Roger LaRochelle
NH Senate	Senator Jeanie Forrester
NH House of Representatives	Representative Suzanne Smith
Newfound Lake Region Association	Boyd Smith
City of Berlin Community Development	Pamela Laflamme

Appendix C: Additional Questions Polled in Citizen Workshops

Table C-1 - Demographics: Age

AGE - I am:	Manchester	Groveton	Keene	Newington	Plymouth	Average of 5 Workshops	All Workshop Participants
<20 years	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	1%	0%
20- 30	9%	9%	6%	13%	1%	8%	5%
31-40	3%	7%	3%	13%	4%	6%	5%
41-50	20%	14%	3%	25%	15%	15%	15%
51-60	29%	26%	29%	29%	33%	29%	30%
61-70	31%	35%	35%	8%	35%	29%	32%
Over 70	9%	9%	24%	8%	12%	12%	12%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Responses	70	43	34	24	139	N/A	310

Table C-2 - Demographics: Gender

GENDER - I am:	Manchester	Groveton	Keene	Newington	Plymouth	Average of 5 Workshops	All Workshop Participants
Male	54%	76%	63%	75%	56%	65%	60%
Female	46%	24%	38%	25%	44%	35%	40%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Responses	70	42	32	24	140	N/A	308

TableC-3 - Demographics: NH Residency

RESIDENCY - I am currently a:	Manchester	Groveton	Keene	Newington	Plymouth	Average of 5 Workshops	All Workshop Participants
Full-time resident of NH	87%	98%	88%	96%	97%	93%	94%
Part-time resident of NH	10%	2%	9%	4%	3%	6%	5%
Not a full- or part-time resident of NH	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Responses	71	43	34	23	142	N/A	313

Table C-4 - Demographics: Length of NH Residency

I have lived in NH (part- or full-time) for:	Manchester	Groveton	Keene	Newington	Plymouth	Average of 5 Workshops	All Workshop Participants
< 5 years	3%	5%	15%	8%	6%	7%	6%
5 - 10 years	4%	7%	0%	13%	13%	7%	9%
11 - 15 years	10%	7%	9%	8%	11%	9%	10%
16 - 20 years	7%	7%	18%	0%	8%	8%	8%
21 - 25 years	14%	7%	12%	8%	8%	10%	10%
> 25 years	60%	68%	42%	63%	55%	58%	57%
Not a full- or part-time resident of NH	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Responses	70	44	33	24	141	N/A	312

Table C-5 – Evaluation: Presentations

A. Short presentations on each topic	Manchester	Groveton	Keene	Newington	Plymouth	Average of 5 Workshops	All Workshop Participants
1 - Not Valuable	1%	0%	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%
2	4%	6%	7%	5%	2%	5%	4%
3	9%	3%	7%	14%	13%	9%	10%
4	19%	29%	21%	19%	15%	21%	18%
5	33%	29%	25%	19%	30%	27%	29%
6- Very Valuable	34%	32%	36%	38%	37%	35%	36%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Responses	70	34	28	21	123	N/A	276
Mean	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7

Table C-6 – Evaluation: Discussions

B. Small group discussions	Manchester	Groveton	Keene	Newington	Plymouth	Average of 5 Workshops	All Workshop Participants
1 - Not Valuable	1%	3%	0%	14%	3%	4%	3%
2	3%	3%	11%	0%	6%	4%	5%
3	7%	3%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%
4	9%	21%	14%	19%	8%	14%	11%
5	23%	29%	25%	19%	21%	23%	23%
6- Very Valuable	57%	41%	46%	43%	57%	49%	53%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Responses	70	34	28	21	123	N/A	276
Mean	5.2	4.9	4.9	4.6	5.1	4.9	5.0

Table C-7 – Evaluation: Polling

C. Keypad polling sessions	Manchester	Groveton	Keene	Newington	Plymouth	Average of 5 Workshops	All Workshop Participants
1 - Not Valuable	3%	3%	4%	10%	3%	4%	4%
2	4%	0%	0%	5%	2%	2%	2%
3	1%	6%	11%	5%	8%	6%	6%
4	6%	9%	32%	14%	8%	14%	11%
5	26%	29%	21%	33%	18%	26%	23%
6- Very Valuable	60%	53%	32%	33%	60%	48%	54%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Responses	70	34	28	21	120	N/A	273
Mean	5.3	5.2	4.6	4.6	5.2	5.0	5.1

Table C-8 – Evaluation: Overall

On a scale of 1 (not valuable) to 6 (very valuable), how valuable did you find the workshop as a whole?	Manchester	Groveton	Keene	Newington	Plymouth	Average of 5 Workshops	All Workshop Participants
1 - Not Valuable	3%	6%	4%	10%	7%	6%	5%
2	4%	0%	4%	0%	2%	2%	2%
3	6%	6%	29%	10%	8%	12%	9%
4	21%	24%	11%	29%	18%	20%	20%
5	31%	38%	39%	43%	34%	37%	35%
6- Very Valuable	35%	26%	14%	10%	31%	23%	28%
Totals	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Responses	71	34	28	21	121	N/A	275
Mean	4.8	4.7	4.2	4.2	4.7	4.5	4.6