

## The State of New Hampshire Insurance Department

21 South Fruit Street, Suite 14 Concord, NH 03301 (603) 271-2261 Fax (603) 271-1406 TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964

Christopher R. Nicolopoulos Commissioner David J. Bettencourt Deputy Commissioner

July 19, 2021

HAND DELIVERY & VIA EMAIL: linda.m.zalinskie@ins.nh.gov Hearings Clerk New Hampshire Insurance Department 21South Fruit Street, Suite 14 Concord, NH 03301

VIA FIRST-CLASS & VIA EMAIL: rbean04@comcast.net Richard A. Bean, Jr. 3 Executive Park Drive Suite 267 Bedford, NH 03110

Re: Richard A. Bean, Jr.

NHID's Objection to Respondent's Motion for Appeal

Docket No.: INS No. 20-070-EP

Clerk Zalinskie,

Enclosed please find the New Hampshire Insurance Department's Objection to Respondent's Motion for Appeal for filing in the above referenced matter.

Sincerely,

/s/ Joshua Hilliard

Joshua S. Hilliard, Esq. Enforcement Counsel

## STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

In Re: Richard A. Bean Jr. Docket No.: Ins. No. 20-070-EP

## New Hampshire Insurance Department's Objection to Respondent's Motion for Appeal

The New Hampshire Insurance Department (NHID), by and through its counsel, objects to the Respondent's Motion for Appeal and requests that the Commissioner deny it, and in support thereof states as follows:

- 1. On July 15, 2021, Respondent filed a Motion for Appeal.
- 2. Though titled a Motion for Appeal, the NHID assumes Respondent has in fact filed a Motion for Rehearing of the Commissioner's June 15, 2021 decision in this matter, given the strictures of RSA 541 and relief requested: an appearance before the Commissioner to argue his case.
- 3. NH RSA 541:4 requires that a petitioner "shall set forth fully every ground upon which it is claimed that the decision or order complained of is *unlawful or unreasonable*." [emphasis added]
- 4. Respondent has not provided the Commissioner any evidence to suggest that the decision was unlawful or unreasonable. To the contrary, Respondent has only argued that he has additional information to provide to the Department and that he does not agree with the penalty assessed due to his misconduct. Neither argument supports a finding of unlawfulness or unreasonableness in the June 15, 2021 decision.