The purpose of screening is to evaluate whether an alternative is effective in addressing the problems and visions defined for this project. The criterion on the following pages determines if a concept is reasonable and should be included in the range of reasonable alternatives. The criterion is arranged into categories that are listed below.

**Access**
- Evaluate the access provided for Automobiles
- Evaluate the access provided for Pedestrians
- Evaluate the available parking

**Aesthetics**
- Evaluate the aesthetic quality of the corridor
- Evaluate the views of Meredith
- Evaluate the views of the lake
- Evaluate the views of the surrounding mountains

**Community Resources**
- Evaluate the effect on local property
- Evaluate the effect on cultural resources
- Evaluate the effect on parks
- Evaluate the effect on schools

**Community Vision**
- Evaluate the effect on the character of the Meredith Village
- Evaluate the effect on the rural character of NH Route 25
- Evaluate the effect on the character of the Lakes Region
- Evaluate whether a more efficient land use pattern is reinforced (one that generates less traffic)

**Economic Vitality**
- Evaluate the long-term effect on local businesses
- Evaluate the effect on local businesses during construction
- Evaluate the long-term effect on Lakes Region businesses

**Historic Resources**
- Evaluate the effect on historic resources, i.e. buildings, districts, etc.
- Evaluate the effect on Archeological resources
ALTERNATIVE SCREENING CRITERIA

Implementation

- Evaluate the cost
- Evaluate its adaptability/flexibility for the future
- Evaluate the effect on the community during construction
- Evaluate the ability to adapt to future energy use

Mobility

- Evaluate the effect on automobile travel through the corridor in terms of travel time, delay, and level of service
- Evaluate the effect on pedestrian travel along and across the corridor
- Evaluate the balance provided to each mode of travel, i.e. automobiles, pedestrians, bicyclist and boat launch users
- Evaluate the amount of time automobiles are stopped
- Evaluate the amount of time pedestrians must wait
- Evaluate how often the corridor fails to provide adequate mobility (does it fail gracefully)
- Evaluate the use of access management
- Evaluate whether alternate routes were considered

Natural Environment

- Evaluate the effect on wildlife habitat and fisheries
- Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern wildlife species
- Evaluate the effect on known or potential habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern plant species
- Evaluate the effect on large forest blocks, existing agricultural farms and prime soils for forest land and agriculture
- Evaluate the effect on surface waters, aquifers, wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas

Public Health

- Evaluate the effect on air quality during construction
- Evaluate the effect on air quality pose construction
- Evaluate the ability of emergency and life safety personal to access the corridor

Safety

- Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for automobiles traveling along the corridor
- Evaluate the effectiveness to improve safety for pedestrians traveling along and across the corridor
- Evaluate the effectiveness to improve conflicts between all modes of transportation, i.e. automobiles, pedestrians, bicyclist and boat launch users
Support

- Evaluate the support from the public in Meredith
- Evaluate the support from the public in the Lakes Region
- Evaluate the support from resource agencies

Transportation Choice

- Evaluate the effectiveness to provide a multi-modal transportation system for the corridor
- Evaluate the effectiveness to provide many options for all modes of travel
- Evaluate the effectiveness to accommodate all modes of travel for the future