

January 19, 2018

Mr. Don Cortez  
PAR Electrical Contractors, Inc.  
4770 North Belleview Avenue, Suite 300  
Kansas City, MO 64116

Re: Northern Pass – Supplemental Route Survey  
**Surveyor's Response to NHDOT Interim Review Comments**

**NPT – BB Segment (WMNF, SHEB, ROCK), NRTH Segment, ROT3 Segment**

WMNF-1 (10/27/2017)

- Plans should include the forest highway designations in the areas of the WMNF.
  - Designation added to right-of-way source note in plan set.
- Existing ROW shown along the WMNF was extinguished by GCRD Book 4106 Page 449.
  - Notation for the permanent easement to NHDOT through the WMNF was added to the plan set.
- Sheet 184 shows ROW for Clarks turn, was there a layout or deed for the 1941 project?
  - None Found.
- The 1941 Plan radius and non-tangent curve does not fit well with the existing center of pavement.
  - The 1941 Plan radius and non-tangent curve were reproduced from the plan baseline. The plan baseline was determined from existing ties that were field located.
- Plan set is not properly formatted. Plans read right to left as the stationing decreases. Stationing should read left to right and increase with each sheet. Stationing does run to north and east in the correct fashion but sheets are in reverse order.
  - Not revised per discussion and consistency with original submission.

WMNF-2 (11/3/2017)

- Plans should include the forest highway designations in the areas of the WMNF.
  - Designation added to right-of-way source note in plan set.
- Existing ROW shown along the WMNF was extinguished by ROW Note 9 and no longer exists.
  - Notation for the permanent easement to NHDOT through the WMNF was added to the plan set.
- Sheets 145-147 show an 8 rod width with no layout and as a prescriptive ROW. Please define source of 8 rod width.
  - This area of prescriptive ROW no longer exists. This section falls under the permanent easement to NHDOT through the WMNF. Notation for the permanent easement to NHDOT through the WMNF was added to the plan set.

- Assume the existing prescriptive ROW on sheets 145-147 was also extinguished by ROW Note 9?
  - This area of prescriptive ROW no longer exists. This section falls under the permanent easement to NHDOT through the WMNF. Notation for the permanent easement to NHDOT through the WMNF was added to the plan set.
- Plan set is not properly formatted. Plans read right to left as the stationing decreases. Stationing should read left to right and increase with each sheet. Stationing does run to north and east in the correct fashion but sheets are in reverse order.
  - Not revised per discussion and consistency with original submission.

### WMNF-3 (11/10/2017)

- Plans should include the forest highway designations in the areas of the WMNF.
  - Designation added to right-of-way source note in plan set.
- Existing ROW shown along the WMNF was extinguished by ROW Note 4.
  - Notation for the permanent easement to NHDOT through the WMNF was added to the plan set.
- Plan set is not properly formatted. Plans read right to left as the stationing decreases. Stationing should read left to right and increase with each sheet. Stationing does run to north and east in the correct fashion but sheets.
  - Not revised per discussion and consistency with original submission.

### SHEB 1&2 (12/19/2017)

- Plans indicate a 3 rod width with a layout of 11/1833 but show the right-of-way line as a prescriptive right-of-way.
  - Revised line style for proper designation
- ROW Note 1 refers to a Grafton County layout, 1833, of 4 rods but did not see this on the plans.
  - The 1833 layout was the original road layout for Route 18. The section through our project was revised in the 1871 layout. We included it for reference.
- ROW source note #3 is also GCRD plan #7136.
  - Revised right-of-way source note to include plan reference.
- ROW lines should be labeled with corresponding number of the right-of-way source note to be consistent with the other plan sets.
  - Revised ROW line labels to indicate right-of-way source note in areas where county layouts were noted.
- Sheets 114-99 show an 1871 3 rod layout, this layout is not listed in the right-of-way source notes.
  - Right-of-way source notes revised to include this layout.
- Plans should be formatted to read left to right and plan sheets numbered in descending order
  - Not revised per discussion and consistency with original submission.

### ROCK (12/19/2017)

- Plans indicate a 2 rod width 1871 that is not listed in the right-of-way source records.
  - Revised right-of-way source note to include reference to 2 rod width.
- The sections of LAROW should be noted on the plans.
  - Revised to include designation.

- ROW source note #3 list construction project P3305A and should be from Right-of-Way project 5305A. The construction plans may not show the final ROW as acquired.
  - Revised note for Project Number P5305A.
- ROW lines should be labeled with corresponding number of the right-of-way source note to be consistent with the other plan sets.
  - Revised ROW line labels to indicate right-of-way source note in areas where county layouts were noted.
- Sheets 118-117 show Right-of-Way from P5305A as line style “record plan per insufficient monuments” and should be “right-of-way per NHDOT ROW plans” line style
  - Revised line style for proper designation.
- Plans should be formatted to read left to right and plan sheets numbered in descending order.
  - Not revised per discussion and consistency with original submission.

#### NRTH (12/19/2017)

- Plan set included Old County Road, North Hill Road and a section of Bear Rock Road that are Class V highways and therefore not part of this review.
  - So noted.
- This review is for the Class II section of Bear Rock Road from approximate Station 7058+50 westerly to the intersection with North Hill Road. The section is shown on sheets 37-25.
  - So noted.
- ROW lines should be labeled with corresponding number of the right-of-way source note to be consistent with the other plan sets.
  - Revised ROW line labels to indicate right-of-way source note in areas where county layouts were noted.
- Plans should be formatted to read left to right and plan sheets numbered in descending order.
  - Not revised per discussion and consistency with original submission.

#### ROT3 (1/26/2018)

- No comment