

1 State of New Hampshire Banking Department

2	In re the Matter of:) Case No.: 08-112
)
3	State of New Hampshire Banking)
4	Department,) Order to Show Cause
)
5	Petitioner,)
)
6	and)
)
7	Mortgage Pros Inc (d/b/a Mortgage Pros)
)
8	USA), and Dale J. Dejoy,)
)
9	Respondents)

10 NOTICE OF ORDER

11 This Order commences an adjudicative proceeding under the provisions
12 of RSA 397-A and RSA 541-A.

13 LEGAL AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION

14 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, the Banking Department of the State of New
15 Hampshire (hereinafter the "Department") has the authority to issue an order
16 to show cause why license revocation and penalties for violations of New
17 Hampshire Banking laws should not be imposed.

18 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:18, the Department has the authority to issue a
19 complaint setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion
20 that the licensee or person over whom the Department has jurisdiction is
21 violating or has violated any provision of RSA Chapter 397-A, or any rule or
22 order thereunder.

23 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:20, the Commissioner may issue, amend, or
24 rescind such orders as are reasonably necessary to comply with the
25 provisions of the Chapter.

1 Pursuant to RSA 397-A:21, the Commissioner has the authority to
2 suspend, revoke or deny any license and to impose administrative penalties
3 of up to \$2,500.00 for each violation of New Hampshire banking law and
4 rules.

5 Pursuant to RSA 383:10-d, the Commissioner shall investigate conduct
6 that is or may be an unfair or deceptive act or practice under RSA 358-A and
7 exempt under RSA 358-A:3,I or that may violate any of the provisions of
8 Titles XXXV and XXXVI and administrative rules adopted thereunder. The
9 Commissioner may hold hearings relative to such conduct and may order
10 restitution for a person or persons adversely affected by such conduct. The
11 Commissioner may utilize all remedies available under the Consumer
12 Protection Act.

13 **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING**

14 The above named Respondents have the right to request a hearing on
15 this Order to Show Cause, as well as the right to be represented by counsel
16 at each Respondent's own expense. All hearings shall comply with RSA 541-A.
17 Any such request for a hearing shall be in writing, and signed by the
18 Respondent or the duly authorized agent of the above named Respondent, and
19 shall be delivered either by hand or certified mail, return receipt
20 requested, to the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire, 53 Regional
21 Drive, Suite 200, Concord, NH 03301. Such hearings will be scheduled within
22 10 days of the Department's receipt of the request. If the Respondent fails
23 to appear at the hearing after being duly notified, such person shall be
24 deemed in default, and the proceeding may be determined against the Respondent

1 upon consideration of the Order to Show Cause, the allegations of which may be
2 deemed to be true.

3 If any of the above named Respondents fails to request a hearing within
4 30 calendar days of receipt of such order or reach formal settlement with the
5 Department within that time frame, then such person shall likewise be deemed
6 in default, and the orders shall, on the thirty-first day, become permanent,
7 and shall remain in full force and effect until and unless later modified or
8 vacated by the Commissioner, for good cause shown.

9 **STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS, APPLICABLE LAWS AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

10 The Staff Petition dated January 15, 2009 (a copy of which is attached
11 hereto) is incorporated by reference hereto.

12 **ORDER**

13 WHEREAS, finding it necessary and appropriate and in the public
14 interest, and consistent with the intent and purposes of the New Hampshire
15 banking laws, and

16 WHEREAS, finding that the allegations contained in the Staff Petition,
17 if proved true and correct, form the legal basis of the relief requested,

18 It is hereby ORDERED, that:

- 19 1. Respondent Mortgage Pros Inc (d/b/a Mortgage Pros USA)
20 ("Respondent Mortgage Pros USA") shall show cause why
21 penalties in the amount of \$2,500.00 should not be imposed
22 against it;
- 23 2. Respondent Dale J. Dejoy ("Respondent Dejoy") shall show
24 cause why penalties in the amount of \$5,000.00 should not be
25 imposed against him;

1 State of New Hampshire Banking Department

2 In re the Matter of:) Case No.: 08-112
)
 3 State of New Hampshire Banking)
)
 4 Department,) Staff Petition
)
 5 Petitioner,) January 15, 2009
)
 6 and)
)
 7 Mortgage Pros Inc (d/b/a Mortgage Pros)
)
 8 USA), and Dale J. Dejoy,)
)
 9 Respondents)

10 I. STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

11 The Staff of the Banking Department, State of New Hampshire (hereinafter
12 "Department") alleges the following facts:

13 Facts Common on All Counts:

- 14 1. Respondent Mortgage Pros Inc (d/b/a Mortgage Pros USA)
 15 (hereinafter "Respondent Mortgage Pros USA") was licensed as a
 16 Mortgage Banker from at least March 18, 2005 until it
 17 surrendered its license on October 20, 2006.
- 18 2. Respondent Dale J. Dejoy (hereinafter "Respondent Dejoy") was
 19 the President of Respondent Mortgage Pros USA, when licensed by
 20 the Department.

21 Violation of RSA 397-A:12,V Failure to Pay Examination Fee (1 Count):

22 Violation of RSA 397-A:13,VI Failure of Officer and Owner to Respond to
23 Department Inquiries (1 Count):

- 24 3. Paragraphs 1 through 2 are hereby realleged as fully set forth
25 herein.

1 4. The Department conducted an examination of Respondent Mortgage
2 Pros USA on July 24, 2006, while Respondent Mortgage Pros USA was
3 still licensed with the Department.

4 5. On April 18, 2007, the Department mailed the report of examination
5 and invoice for \$2,010.00 to Respondent Mortgage Pros USA, via
6 U.S. Certified Mail Return Receipt requested, which Respondents
7 received on April 20, 2007.

8 6. The above named Respondents failed to respond to the April 18,
9 2007 correspondence from the Department.

10 7. The Department, via U.S. mail, mailed a second notice on June 8,
11 2007 and a third notice on August 22, 2007.

12 8. The above named Respondents did not respond to any of the three
13 notices for payment of the \$2,010.00 invoice.

14 9. To date, the above named Respondents still owe the \$2,010.00
15 examination fee for the 4.02 day examination.

16 **II. ISSUES OF LAW**

17 The staff of the Department, alleges the following issues of law:

18 1. The Department realleges the above stated facts in Paragraphs 1
19 through 9 as fully set forth herein.

20 2. The Department has jurisdiction over the licensing and regulation
21 of persons engaged in mortgage banker or broker activities
22 pursuant to NH RSA 397-A:2 and RSA 397-A:3.

23 3. RSA 397-A:12,V provides that the expense of such examination shall
24 be chargeable to and paid by the licensee. Each of the above
25 named Respondents violated this provision on at least one

1 occasion as alleged above. To date, the above named Respondents
2 have failed to pay the \$2,010.00 examination invoice.

3 4. RSA 397-A:13,VI provides that any officer, owner, manager or agent
4 of any licensee shall reply promptly in writing, or other
5 designated form, to any written inquiry from the Department.
6 Respondent Dejoy violated this provision on at least one occasion
7 as alleged above.

8 5. RSA 397-A:18,I provides that the Department may issue a complaint
9 setting forth charges whenever the Department is of the opinion
10 that the licensee or person over whom the Department has
11 jurisdiction, has violated any provision of RSA 397-A or orders
12 thereunder.

13 6. RSA 397-A:21,IV provides that any person who, either knowingly or
14 negligently, violates any provision of Chapter 397-A, may upon
15 hearing, and in addition to any other penalty provided for by
16 law, be subject to an administrative fine not to exceed
17 \$2,500.00, or both. Each of the acts specified shall constitute
18 a separate violation, and such administrative action or fine may
19 be imposed in addition to any criminal penalties or civil
20 liabilities imposed by New Hampshire Banking laws.

21 7. RSA 397-A:21,V provides that every person who directly or
22 indirectly controls a person liable under this section, every
23 partner, principal executive officer or director of such person,
24 every person occupying a similar status or performing a similar
25 function, every employee of such person who materially aids in the

1 act constituting the violation, and every licensee or person acting
2 as a common law agent who materially aids in the acts constituting
3 the violation, either knowingly or negligently, may, upon notice
4 and opportunity for hearing, and in addition to any other penalty
5 provided for by law, be subject to suspension, revocation, or
6 denial of any registration or license, including the forfeiture of
7 any application fee, or the imposition of an administrative fine
8 not to exceed \$2,500, or both. Each of the acts specified shall
9 constitute a separate violation, and such administrative action or
10 fine may be imposed in addition to any criminal or civil penalties
11 imposed.

12 **III. RELIEF REQUESTED**

13 The staff of the Department requests the Commissioner take the following
14 action:

- 15 1. Find as fact the allegations contained in section I of this Staff
16 Petition;
- 17 2. Make conclusions of law relative to the allegations contained in
18 section II of this Staff Petition;
- 19 3. Pursuant to RSA 397-A:17, order each of the above named
20 Respondents to show cause why their license should not be revoked;
- 21 4. Assess fines and administrative penalties in accordance with RSA
22 397-A:21, for violations of Chapter 397-A, in the number and amount
23 equal to the violations set forth in section II of this Staff
24 Petition; and
- 25 5. Take such other administrative and legal actions as necessary for

