

Goffstown Supervisors Association and Town of Goffstown, Decision No. 2010-052 (Case No. G-0129-1).

Background: The Union filed a WMA petition seeking certification as an exclusive representative of certain employees of Police Dept. The Town objected claiming that the proposed unit included supervisory and confidential employees, lacked a community of interest, and contained fewer than 10 employees.

Decision: The PELRB excluded the Prosecutor from the proposed bargaining unit finding that he is a confidential employee within the meaning of RSA 273-A:1, IX (c). The Union's petition was denied as, after the exclusion of the Prosecutor, the proposed unit contained fewer than 10 employees required for certification under RSA273-A:8, I.

Disclaimer: This summary is intended to provide a brief description of the issues in this case and the outcome. The summary is not a substitute for the decision, should not be relied upon in place of the decision, and should not be cited as controlling or relevant authority in PELRB proceedings or other proceedings.