<u>Rochester Municipal Employees Association and City of Rochester</u>, Decision No. 2009-150 (Case No. G-0075-1).

Background: The union filed a written majority authorization (WMA) petition seeking to be certified as an exclusive representative of a bargaining unit comprised of certain positions within the city of Rochester. The city filed exceptions claiming that the position of an executive secretary in the city manager's office and the position of a secretary II in the city finance office should be excluded from the proposed bargaining unit because they were confidential positions.

<u>Decision:</u> The PELRB found that the positions of executive secretary and secretary II in the city finance office were confidential positions and excluded them from the bargaining unit. The WMA petition was granted as to the approved bargaining unit because there was a sufficient written majority authorization by the employees in the unit.

Disclaimer: This summary is intended to provide a brief description of the issues in this case and the outcome. The summary is not a substitute for the decision, should not be relied upon in place of the decision, and should not be cited as controlling or relevant authority in PELRB proceedings or other proceedings.