**Brentwood Police Union, NEPBA and Town of Brentwood**, Decision No. 2008-247 (Case No. P-0804)

**Background:** The union filed a written majority authorization petition seeking to be certified as an exclusive representative of a proposed bargaining unit consisting of the following positions: police department sergeant; detective sergeant; full and part time patrol officers; and secretary/assistant. The town objected to the petition claiming that the secretary/assistant position should be excluded from the proposed unit because it was a confidential position; that sergeant position should be excluded from the proposed unit because it was a supervisory position; and that two police officers should be excluded from the unit because they were on call employees.

**Decision:** The PELRB dismissed the union's petition finding that the proposed bargaining unit did not meet 10-employee minimum statutory requirement because one part time patrol officer was irregular or on call employee and, therefore, not a public employee within the meaning of RSA 273-A:1, IX.

Disclaimer: This summary is intended to provide a brief description of the issues in this case and the outcome. The summary is not a substitute for the decision, should not be relied upon in place of the decision, and should not be cited as controlling or relevant authority in PELRB proceedings or other proceedings.