



**NH EECBG – Technical Assistance Program
SCORING SHEET
For Requests for Proposals**

Proposal to be reviewed: Administrator for EECBG Technical Assistance Program

Bidder name:

Contact person:

Date Reviewed:

Reviewer:

On the Reviewing Team of:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Score:

Group Score:

Proposal to be reviewed: Administrator for EECBG Technical Assistance Program

Reviewer:

Scoring Criteria	Possible Points	Earned Points	Explanation	Comments
Complete proposal	0	0	Incomplete proposals will not be accepted	
Demonstration that essential program requirements will be met, Scope of Services	15		Appropriate information provided	
Quality and clarity of proposal, program, and applicant's capacity and financial soundness	10		Concise and appropriate information provided	
Bidder's demonstrated ability to meet reporting and other compliance requirements	10		Monthly and quarterly reporting requirements, Davis Bacon, Buy American, NEPA, etc. OEP and/or DOE can retract funding if out of compliance	
Budget	10		Shows understanding of program challenges; Reflects confidence of reporting ability; Expects financial audits and other scrutiny	
Demonstrated ability to expeditiously provide technical assistance	10		Has necessary capacity and strategy to serve all NH municipalities and counties	
Demonstrate capacity to coordinate with external resources.	10		Demonstrated understanding of technologies, priorities and existing resources and programs. Ability to coordinate with other resources, programs, and entities	
Diversity of Program	10		Has the bidder provided a plan that addresses the differing needs of communities statewide?	
Demonstrated ability to Publicize the Program to municipalities	5		Realistic? Creative? Use existing outreach methods?	
Creativity of Program	5		Innovative (and realistic) solutions are encouraged	
Administrative Costs Relative to Program	5		Realistic? Leveraged administrative costs?	
Leveraging of Other Funds	5		Shows collaboration with other entities, knowledge of and maximizing existing programs	
Other Considerations	5		Explained by the reviewer	
Total	100			

In the event of a tie, preference will be given to the more innovative project.