The DeSteph Agency
at Coburn Woods
Jaffrey, New Hampshire 03452

MANCHESTER NH 030

07 MAY 2012 PM 4 T

Commissioner
Department of Insurance
State of New Hampshire
21 Fruit Street
Concord, NH 03301

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED
2012 MAY -8 AM 10: 29
NH INSURANCE DEPT.

DOCKET # 2012-0252

MAY 2012

APPEAL OF THOMAS F. DESTEPH

MOTION TO RECONSIDER
APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR WAIVER OF THE FILING FEE

NOW COMES, the Appellant, **Thomas DeSteph, Pro Se**, and respectively asks this Honorable Court to reconsider Appellant's Motion for waiver for the filing fee.

1. On May 2, 2012, Judge Lynn denied Mr. DeSteph's Motion for Waiver for the Filing Fee which has been granted in every other Court it has been submitted; this action leads the Appellant to believe two things:

   a. First, that the Court may not fully understand the asset dilemma as it now stands under the current Chapter 13 plan; and

   b. Second, that Judge Lynn is being unreasonably harsh because of his past dealings with Mr. DeSteph's drawn-out difficult divorce proceedings dating back to 2000-2008 (2000-M-0093, 02-M-0713 and currently 216-2002-DM-00713).

2. Firstly, under the current Chapter 13 Plan Mr. DeSteph is obligated and ordered not to change any current asset; and, although no bank or other financial institute would entertain the idea of lending outright or against the Appellant's home, it would not be allowed anyway. Therefore, the current equity within the home is unavailable and should not be considered.

3. Next, when Judge Lynn denied the Appellant's ability to earn income by denying the Motion to Stay the Department's order to revoke on May 1, 2012, Judge Lynn understood, through well argued pleadings, that this is the Appellant's only income and he was a single father of two minor children. Then, the day after, to deny the waiver for filing fee, appears calculated and disproportionately unfair.

4. It's not enough for judges to act in an unbiased manner when suspected of a potential conflict of interest. A judge must avoid even the *appearance* of bias. A test is whether any independent and reasonable observer would think that an appearance of bias is likely as it is here.

5. The information in the Motion for Waiver has been submitted to several other Courts and all have passed muster, the Appellant asks this Honorable Court to reconsider or recalculate the requirements and grant the waiver.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff requests this Honorable Court:

A. Grant the Appellant's Motion for Waiver for the Filing Fees.

B. Order other such relief that may be just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 7, 2012

By: _____

Thomas DeSteph, Pro Se
Appellant
603-532-9318
tdesteph@aol.com

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing has been forwarded this seventh day of May 2012, via first class mail, postage prepaid, to:

Commissioner
Department of Insurance
State of New Hampshire
21 Fruit Street
Concord, NH 03301

Office of the AG
State of New Hampshire
33 Capitol Street
Concord, NH 03301

Thomas DeSteph